

Presence

File No 7-21

Serial Nos 1-3.

(Municipal)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 7-3

Part B for December 1921

Resumption of the Site known as ~~the~~
Chowah or Bohunkama in the Maudya land
by the Municipality.

To the 22 County, Oregon
 No. 23638-2 4 12 24

Request him to come with me to the head
of the Mandya municipality to take possession
of the site known as Chavadi or Bhaskaranagar.

Hubert
Gibson

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Pls.*
ml.)

NOTES

File No *7-2*
54-24

FILE HEADING

*Dispute between the Hindus &
non-Hindus of Nandya*

From

No.	Dated	Received
Copy No.	Enclos Spare Copies	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

NOTES AND ORDERS

(2)

~~Police Station~~
ml 7-29

G.B.S III-9]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record.]

SLM)

D. No. 922

Letter No ROC 577/Jan 4/
d/ 25th Aug 1921 from
the ~~Dist. Magistrate~~ ^{Dist. Magistrate} regarding a report re-
disputes between the
Hindus & Mohammedans
of Mandya

Subd for perusal &
orders In this office
letter No. G 24810/Police.
35-20-20d/ 22.6.1921
The D.M. was requested
to hand over the site-
the bone of contention-
to the Municipality
& arrange for the
withdrawal of the cases
pending against the
two communities.

B

not complete report
17/8/21
6A

see 30/8/21 The Depy. S. may
take the necessary steps to hand
the site over to the Municipality

2/9

2nd member) - 24/9/21
signature -

V.B -Should routine notes be written on small slip
the notes must be copied or slips pasted o
this form

3)

9-21

G.R.S III-3]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

3) There was no meeting - but the
 book was sent out to have very few
 in it as it is a vacant night
 - but I wish to preserve the
 in presence. These meetings?
 have many from the ^{business} ~~business~~
 of them but have been
 which is not business, but may be somewhat
 business, ^{transferred} ~~may be business~~
 cancelled

1733 / 9-21

11/1

12/19

4) Draft accordingly

14/19/21

5) Draft as per members
minute is subd.

12/19
11/19

Gen Secy)

Cal
19-21

6) The draft, it is presumed,
is in accordance with the ^{above} order of 12-7-21

V.B. - Should routine notes be written on small slips
the notes must be copied or slips pasted on
this form. It is submitted
for perusal before
writing

Q.120
23/12/21

3rd member
(1st member)

12-9-21

922

(4)

rel
Police File No. 344 of 1922

21/5

Serial
No

The subject is as follows.

The union of the two
be enforced. The title
has been fully actual
known by the staff
must be provided of
the subject in the
house of the
The very thing is quite matter
no difference

2
24

Resubmitted

28/9 8/30 member

22/9

2 297
3019/24

28/9
27/9
If may be submitted that
member for now as required but this
will give rise

6/10/21
Mr
8/10

155
10 10 24

10/18 member)
11) The title of the subject is as follows
taken from the 5th rule which
lead to trouble. The apparent
inherence of one party has
Please therefore take action
in the proper department to enforce with
of Dec 1921
20
11.10

members other
13.9 it could not
be clear understood
is the case of 13.9
was marked for 30
member also perhaps
this may want to
turn - 13.9
25.9
26.9

Serial
No

11/ Draft please

Cag
12 10 21

13) The order of Dec last has not
refer to the site in question. It only
refers to the ~~the~~ affray can indicate
by the Order in regard to the procession
by Hindus near the site while it
was being used during Maharam for
a tabot and the explanation of
the inspection on certain points connects
with the affray. These have not
been finally reported. If action
is to be taken in regard to this
it has to be done in the Police Dept.

But the first part of the Member's
remarks refers to the procession of
the site about which the order of
December is silent. In the earlier
order he has suggested the site being
taken possession of by the Dist Magistrate
~~in~~ ~~the~~ in regard to this, orders
may be issued either in the Police
Dept or ~~the~~ ^{County} ~~Magistrate~~ ^{Police} but there is
other ~~suggestion~~ ^{suggestion} of handing it over to
the Municipality or taken possession
by Govt & the former may be dealt

22/10/24 (6)

Serial
No

with the Municipal Section of the
Local Self Govt Branch

For further

14/10/24 Suddenly the order
referred to is that passed in June 21,
to resume the site. I will consult
the Gen Secy about it
12.10.24

15/ As per instructions of the Gen Secy
this had to be sent to the Municipal Section
L.S.G. branch, to take action to resume the
site. The Municipal Council of the Place
will have to do it. This may not be done by
the Dist Magistrate.

The District Secy
L.S.G. Branch
with Compts

16.10.24

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
26 OCT 1924
LOCAL SELF GOVT.
BRANCH

16/ Submitted with a draft for app^l
of order or other orders.

17/ 3rd member) 27/10/24

18/ Please ask the Secy Genl & in return pass on
the file as at present. & whether it is not
to pass to the Govt or the Municipality - as
I believe you wish

19/ 11/24

19/ 24/11/24

Serial
No

20) Draft put up

3/11

4/11/21

21) Issued

4/11/21

Recd
Hides
19/11/21
byTo L. J. C. C. C.
for the

From the de house

2618

No. 577-12-22 & 25-11-21

Requests to be informed
in what possession the site in
question now is

From the same

No. 577-12-22 & 25-11-21

States that the site in
question in the accounts as "Tanka
Chaudh" that the Mahomedans
were buying the "Tanka" during
the time of Wahab for the last
30 or 35 years ^{building of a temporary roof} at the place & that
for the last 3 or 4 years, they are
not removing the temporary roof
claiming permanent possession
of the place & that in his opinion
the place may be taken possession
of by the municipality

G. R. S III-2,

General and Revenue
Secretariat

9ml File No.

7

of 1921

Serial
No

3241
5/12/21

Submitted with reference to
para 18 supra for orders

~~3/12~~ ~~5/12/21~~ (3rd member) - ~~5/12/21~~

the Municipality may be permitted
to take possession of the land, but it should
not be disposed of without the sanction
of the Govt

wh³
10/12
draft please
14/12

to be put up for approval

~~13/12~~ ~~14/12/21~~ (Review) ~~14/12/21~~

afford. issue
14/12

Received only

to-day.
11/1/22

11/5

REVENUE & GENERAL SECRETARIAT
6 JAN 1922
LOG. I
Sh...

3

To, The D & Mysore

No L 3635/d 20.12.21.

Requests to issue instructions to
The president Municipal Council of
Mandya to take possession of the site
known as Ashwathkarna

Serial
No.

2

From G. Dasappa, Mandya

Petr d. 26th Oct 1921

Acknowledges receipt of
endorsement regarding the with-
drawal of the cases pending against
them, ~~and~~ states that the Dist
Magk has not taken any
steps in the matter up till now,
and requests that the needful
might be done as early as possible

Genl Secy with compts

Indl) Kd

Police Indl) Btl. 27/10

27/10/21

2) Please put up references
Indl) Bag 27/10/21

3

From the Dist Magk, Mysore Dist

No Roc 577/30-21 d/2 11 1921

Forwards copy of letter from the
D. S. P., French Rocks circle, inve withdrawn
of the cases against the Hindus and
Mahomedans of Mandya, and requests early
orders in the matter of handing over the site
dispute to the Municipality by the leaders of
both the parties, as the pending cases could not be
withdrawn until the site question is settled.

3) Subd. for orders

see 9/11

4) The file has been hand-
ed to the Mand. Secy of the Dist. Secy
with the ~~request~~ which may now be
requested to expedite disposal and
the question stands

20/11/21

LS & Br
with compts

previous refer
made as last year
Police 55-20 - as per
in the Branch
before the 11

Remember's office
1896 / 2 XI 14

The file has been
returned with orders
Indl) Btl. 27/10

The Dist file has
not been retained
in the Branch

7/11

REVENUE & LOCAL GOVT
27/10
10 NOV 1921
LOCAL SELF GOVT
BRANCH

Serial
No

5) The ac has been asked to state
in whose possession the rule in question
is at present & whether it is not in
possession of Govt or Municipality
on behalf of Govt on receipt
of reply final orders will be
passed on the subject.

14/11/24
14/11/24

(Protection Br)

6) As the Deputy Commr is addressed to the DSG Br
reply may not be necessary
Gravelly

17/11/24
15/11/24

7) The linked file may be sent
back to the DSG Br for further
treatment

17/11/24

In reply to the letter
of the Deputy Commr
to the DSG Br
17/11/24

29/8/21

Office of the District Magistrate,
Mysore District

Dated, Mysore, 25th August 1921

(17)

From

M G Kridhanawami Rao, Esq, B A.,
Senior Assistant Commissioner
in District Charge, Mysore

922
Police
Rao 5/4-2
S. K. 1

To

B Ramaswamaiya, Esq, B A, B L,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

b

Sir,

With reference to your letter No G 24810/Pol 55-20-20 dated the 22nd June 1921, in regard to the settlement of the dispute between the Hindus and Mahomedans of Mandya, I have the honor to state that in pursuance of instructions of Government as conveyed therein, orders were issued to the Amildar of Mandya Taluk directing him to call upon the leaders of the parties to hand over the site known as the Chavadi or Ashurkhana to the Municipality in the first instance with a view to necessary action being taken to withdraw the Criminal cases now pending against them. The Amildar in his No 41 dated the 19th August 1921, reports that although he sent for the Mahomedan leaders several times, only one or two of them appeared before him and stated that they could not give their consent in the matter without consulting the others. This they do not seem to have done, although enough time had been given them. The Hindus on the other hand, are reported to be agreeable to the suggestion.

2 In this connection, it may be stated that it would be better, if the site is taken possession of by the Government for the use of the Municipality to whom it actually belongs. The idea that the site should be given over to the two communities for an upset price was, in para 3 of this office letter No R O C 577/J dated 21st May 1921,

W. H.

079' 5

19

അനുഭവം

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Date 25th August 1921 REV
Despatched 29th 1921 100
Received 30 AUG 1921

General No Roc No 577/826-21
No

Enclosure No 186 02 207

Submitting a report to the
dispute between the Hindus
and Mohomedans at Mandya

31 AUG 1921

reported to be unworkable, and has since been found so in actual practice

3. The Amildar's report together with its enclosure is herewith forwarded for reference and return when done with

4 The Criminal cases are still pending

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

in 9 Kusumasan

Senior Assistant Commissioner

in District Charge, Mysore

A N

- Subd for perusal & orders In this
office letter of the 24th June 1941 the
D.M. was requested to hand over the
site - some of contention - to the Municipality
to arrange for the withdrawal of the cases
pending against the two concerned

SR
24/6

Roe 10055, memo
Report 72021 23/4

note
con 3.

8660
22 8/4
9/4

With reference to the
enclosed memo dated 25th Jan 21,
my fo state that the
leaders among Mahomedans
have been making things

I tried my best several times
to get them one or two of
them had however failed
up but I said that they would
not give their consent in the
matters of harmony over the
like in question to the main
principles without consulting
them. These leaders they were
since got more than
four hundred twice last

announced
site to
taken
part
of site
main
and
Munich
the 9.0
shank
work
23/8/21

141

nothing was decided by them.
Nor did they or other leaders
bring up against the President
leaders have stated that they
have no objection to his
handing over of his title
to his successors or his
conditions noted in the enclosed
memo

In the connection

I beg to inform herewith
an extract of the old ~~the~~ ~~the~~ ~~the~~
account for the said purpose.
It is clear from the act
in it that his title belongs
to the government. It is therefore
a "Good Cause". As such I
trust the government will
be pleased to pass such order.

18

as they deem fit regarding
the Sibi without asking
either party if approved
the Sibi may be ordered
to be anchored or placed
to the Municipality; for
the purpose of constructing
the Municipal office or
a Reading room or
to be handed over to
both parties, if they should
agree to the payment of
a reasonable price that
may be fixed by the Municipal
Council and approved
by the Deputy Commissioner

W. C. S. S. S.
1902. 1902

23/2.402

18

no 577

22/6/21

Office of the District Magistrate,

Mysore District

Dated, Mysore, 25th June 1921

Memo:

In the matter of the settlement of the dispute between the Hindus and Mahomedans of Mandya, Government have passed orders that the site known as the Chevadi or Ashurkhana in the heart of the Town should be made over to the Municipality and that the parties may, if they so desire, purchase it and divide it amongst themselves, a reasonable price being fixed therefor

2 The Amildar and President of the Mandya Municipal Council is accordingly requested to

- (i) immediately call upon the leaders of the parties referred to above to hand over the site in question to the Municipality in the first instance, and
- (ii) submit a report to this office as soon as possible after the site has been handed over, so that necessary further steps may be taken to have the Criminal Cases now pending against them withdrawn

Deputy Commissioner &
District Magistrate,
Mysore District

ca

To
The Amildar and President of the
Mandya Municipal Council, Mandya

To

(19)


The District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No R O.C 577/J 20-21 dated 25/29th
August 1921 regarding the dispute bet-
ween the Hindus and Mahomedans at -
Mandya, I am directed to state that
there was no necessity to ask the Maho-
medans to hand over the site, as it is a
vacant site, and ^{presumably,} such ~~is~~ in the posses-
sion of Government. Three months' time ^{as}
may be given to the mahomedans and they
~~may~~ be warned that if within that time
they do not purchase the site the con-
^(to them, if purchasing half the value)
cession granted ~~will~~ be withdrawn, and
~~the results reported to Government~~

I have etc ,

Caly
1921


22-9-21
General Secretary to Govt

27 OCT 1921
JUDICIAL BANGALORE
V. Nathan Coquiro, B.A.
Police 54-21-2
1653-20

Chief Secretary To Government of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E .

Respected Sir,

With reference to your office NO. J.37 Pol 65 . 20.22 of 4th July 21. I on behalf of Mandya residents beg to acknowledge the receipt of endorsement sent through the District Magistrate, Mysore in his NO.641 of 5th July 21 regarding the withdrawal order to be issued to the Courts in connection with Criminal Cases. I on behalf of the residents of Mandya beg to inform you that no steps have been taken up till now , regarding the matter by the District Magistrate, Mysore, as we are all merchants & frequent presenting Courts, We incur great loss & trouble. The Magistrate urge to have cases withdrawn are they will proceed cases. Therefore we beg that needfull be done as early as possible.

D/21 - 10- 21

M A N D Y A .

99/1027-----

We beg to remain

Respected Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servants

For the Residents, M A N D Y A .

See case
intercepted
27/10
Police
27-10-21
Please put up
reference
27/10/21

(2)

No. 577-720-21
6-11-21

17117 No 186 of
12-11-21

Office of the District Magistrate,

Mysore District

Dated, Mysore 2nd November 1921.

From

S Hiriannaiya, Esq , M.A , B L.,

District Magistrate, Mysore District

To

B. Ramaswamiya, Esq , B.A , B L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore

Sir,


In submitting herewith copy of a letter No.708 dated the 26th October 1921, received from the Superintendent of Police, French Rocks in regard to the with-drawal of the cases against the Hindus and Mahomedans of Mandya consequent on the disturbances that took place at Mandya on the 18th September 1920, I have the honor to invite reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No R O C.577 dated the 25th August 1921 on the subject, and to request that early orders of Government may be obtained in regard to the question of handing over the site in dispute to the possession of the Municipality by the leaders of both the parties.

2 The cases instituted against the parties concerned are reported to be still pending as orders for withdrawing the cases could not be issued pending the settlement of the possession of the site in question

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant,



District Magistrate,

Mysore District

A. r

14134

19

From

The District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to Govt.,
General Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 2nd November 1921.

Despatched 4 November 1921

Received

General No
No

Enclosure No

5- NOV 1921

Re the withdrawal of the cases
against the Hindus & Mahomedans of
Mandya consequent on the disturbances
that took place at Mandya on 18-9-20.

NOV 1921
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

22

Letter No.708 dated 26th October 1921, from the Superintendent of Police, French Rocks Circle, to the District Magistrate, Mysore.

The Prosecuting Inspector Mandya reports that the Second Class Magistrate, Mandya, and the First Class Magistrate, Mysore, have been urging him either to proceed with the Mandya Rioting Case (Hindus VS Mahomedans) or to obtain orders to withdraw the charge-sheets

The matter, I understand, is still under correspondence between the District Magistrate and the Government and the cases have been pending on the Magistrates' files, without any progress being made

I submit that needful orders may kindly be communicated to the Magistrates and a copy of it sent to me so that I may instruct the Prosecuting Inspector accordingly

"Copy"



District Magistrate,
Mysore District

A.N

G. R. S. VI—G/3

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

, the

191

Date of issue

Signed by

Mr W.C. Subbaraya

Section

File No.

Serial No

7-21

No.

2668

To

With reference to para 2
of your letter No 597-20-00
dated the 25th August 1921
suggesting ^{that} the site known as
the Chavadi or Ashurkhana
in the Mandya Town may
be taken possession of by
the Mandya Municipality
to which it actually belongs
I am directed that

over

24-7

to request you to ^{be so good as to} ~~write~~ ^{write} in where
possessing the nature you have -
as at present. ^{and} ~~it will be~~ ^{it will be} ~~it~~
not in possession of this
as of the ~~handwriting~~ ^{handwriting} on behalf
of ~~your~~ ^{your} ~~...~~ ^{...}

18

I have as

for 4/1/21
for Rev. Secy

AM

copy as at 4/11/21

at

... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

List of enclosures

Copied by
Examined by

25

470
g

TO

K. Nathan Esquire B.A.,

Chief Secretary to Government,

B A N G A L O R E .

Respected Sir,

I on behalf of the residents of Mandya beg to inform you that nothing is done regarding the withdrawal of Criminal cases as per your office NO. J37 Pol 55, "O- " of the 4th July 21 from the Magistrates Courts both here & in Mysore. TO the effect I had submitted a reminder on 20th October 21 Though it is nearly 20 days past no information is received regarding the same. The hearing of the cases are very near. Therefore I request you to kindly do the needfull before the time, as the Magistrates urge for their proceduro

*Intersect just
Please Mr. Nathan
has this 17/11/21*

For which act of kindness I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain

Sir,

Your most obidient servant,

Gouappa

For the Residents , M A N D Y A .

M A N D Y A .

15th November 1921.

*12/11/21
J. C. P. D.
17/11/21
18/11/21*

Orders regarding withdrawal of these cases, were issued long ago. The District Magistrate however, thinks that till the case is taken possession of by the "Muni apahy" or Govt., there is no difficulty in withdrawing them. As he thinks that it is inadvisable to suppose. The matter rests at that. The file is in the L.C. Branch for taking necessary steps to obtain possession of the case.
17/11/21

(10)

1951

11) The connected files are forwarded

18/11 12) Flag 7 is the previous letter from the same party Flag 6 is the letter from the Deputy Commr, enclosing that you see Flag B in the connected file, is the order checking with respect of the complaints. It is not known why action was not taken

Ch. Secy

ccy

18/11/51

13) Mr. Mehta

18/11 14) May these papers be recorded since no further action appears to be necessary (vide para 6)

18/11

Mean want for the return of the connected file from the L.S. of Gram or copy of order of any kind there this may be filed with them.

18/11

(18/11)

177
25-21
Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated, Mysore, 25th November 1921

From

P F Bowring, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

ml
7-21-2



To

D Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 2668/7-21-1 dated the 9th November 1921, requesting to be informed in whose possession the site known as the Chevadi or Ashurkara in Hardya row is, I have the honor to state that it is reported that the site is shown in the accounts as "Sirkar Chevadi", that formerly there was tiled roofing which having come down, the articles thereof were sold by auction and the proceeds credited to Government in May 1905, that the Mahomedans were keeping their "Panjas" during the time of the Moharam for the last 30 or 35 years at the place but that on other occasions the place was being used for the collection of revenue and other taxes of the Municipality, that the Mahomedans subsequently used to put up a temporary roof with coconut leaves during the time they kept the "Panjas" and removed the roofing after the Moharam, and that for the last 3 or 4 years they did not remove the temporary roofing and have begun to claim an unauthorized possession of the place since then in my opinion the place may be taken possession of by the Municipality

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

26836¹⁰
From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Dated 25th November 1921

Despatched 30 Nov 1921

Received

2 DEC 1921

General No
No.

Roe no 577/jad-21/1

Enclosure No

Submitting a report on
the matter of the persons of
Chagadi or Ashwabhama of
Mandya

G R S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 13168

(General and Revenue Secretariat

(me)

, the

191

Date of issue 2-12-42

Signed by Mr. D. C. Subbaraya

Section Genl

File No. 7 - 27

Serial No 3

No. L 3635-

To

The Secy to the Govt

For -

With reference to your
letter no. Koe 577 of 21
dated the 20th Nov 1941, re
the Chowdar or Churkhanas
at Madaya, I am directed
to request you to issue
necessary instructions to the
Sardar of the Madaya taluk
to take the Chowdar in question
subject to the condition
that it should not be

over

11-11-42

1281

disposal of materials the
caution of fact.

I have

for the day

ref 12/21

List of enclosure.

Copied by
Examined by

